

ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER

April 15, 1961

1930 — 1961

Letter No. 501

National Economic Council Moving to New Offices

Late in April the Council will move from the Empire State Building, where it has been for eighteen years, to new and larger quarters at 156 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1100 (at 20th Street), New York 10, New York. New telephone number: YUkon 9-1670.

MORE ABOUT THE STATE DEPARTMENT

IN Council Letter 500 we described the State Department as the "Enemy of the American People." Now we have the following to report.

The President, by executive order, has created the United States Disarmament Administration. On March 23, 1961 a "briefing session" was held in Room 1105 of the State Department. Some seventy-five persons representing non-governmental organizations were present. These groups included Americans for Democratic Action, Women's International League for Peace and Freedom, General Federation of Women's Groups (represented by a Canadian citizen), United World Federalists, Federation of American Scientists, American Association of University Women, etc.

Yet representatives of conservative groups, such as the American Coalition of Patriotic Societies were expressly refused admission.

State Department officials included Daniel W. Montenegro, Ronald Spires and Edmund A. Gullion, Deputy Director of United States Disarmament Administration. The last-named did the briefing. After a resumé of events from 1955 to 1961, it was stated that the State Department would have more briefing sessions, and more important ones for representatives of non-governmental organizations. It has been stated that the Disarmament Administration is the propaganda arm of the State Department.

It was explained that the hearings before the House Subcommittee on Appropriations had just closed. Therefore, the Department called this meeting on disarmament to say that it is necessary to create and confirm, through legislation, a permanent Disarmament Administration. This Administration now has an appropriation of \$400,000 and is asking for \$600,000 more. Those present at

the briefing session were to work for the passage of legislation, which would include making the new Administration on Disarmament responsible *only* to the Secretary of State and not to the President or to the Congress.

Mr. Gullion explained it was difficult to work under the "18th Century Constitution." The Disarmament Administration will consider all phases of disarmament, including nuclear weapons. "We will consider what we mean by general and complete disarmament. . . . It means down to the level of international security with an international police force. This can only take place in another kind of world, with international law, international tribunal and international concept."

The State Department opposes retention of the Connally Reservation. The Disarmament Administration will be concerned with furthering the objectives of setting up an all-powerful international court. We will permit the Soviet teams to inspect our disarmament in good faith, but we will depend on the British to do it for us in Russia!

The impression was given that the return of the two American flyers was gained, in part, by permitting the importation of Russian crabmeat into this country.

It is envisioned that all of this must be done under international agreement and international jurisdiction. Mr. Gullion explained to the meeting that it must be semi-anonymous. We do not want the Disarmament Administration to be answerable to the White House. "Although I have worked at the White House, I like it better here," he said.

HERE is a group of paid public officials who seek appropriations from Congress to use in changing our form of Government. Public law

Copyright 1961, National Economic Council, Inc.

85-474 of the 85th Congress, with subsequent Amendments, prohibits the use of taxpayers' money for the promotion of the principles or doctrines of one world government.

The people back home should demand that their Congressmen and Senators tell them exactly what they will do about this undercover U. S. Disarmament Administration.

THE JOHN BIRCH SOCIETY

IN recent weeks there has been a barrage of attack against this Society whose home office is Belmont, Massachusetts, and the House Un-American Activities Committee sponsored film, *Operation Abolition*.

This has not just happened. The attack against the JBS got its start from an article in the official communist newspaper, *People's World*, in San Francisco, February 25, 1961.

This *People's World* article repeated the announcement of Khrushchev that one of the chief 1961 objectives of the Communist Party is to destroy all anti-communist groups.

Both the JBS and *Operation Abolition* have gone hand in hand, because the JBS has utilized this film in many of its Chapter meetings and promoted the film before civic and other groups all over the country.

We in the National Economic Council have known the John Birch Society from its early days. It was organized and is led by some of the best informed men of integrity and patriotism to be found in the United States.

It includes in its twenty-eight man Council such well-known figures as T. Coleman Andrews, former Commissioner of Internal Revenue; Spruille Braden, former Ambassador to several countries, including Argentina and former Assistant Secretary of State; two former Presidents of the National Association of Manufacturers (William J. Grede and Cola G. Parker); Fred C. Koch of Wichita, Kansas; Dean Clarence Manion and others.

The founder and guiding spirit of the John Birch Society is Robert Welch of Belmont, former businessman, a former director and vice president of the N.A.M. He is a man of the highest standing, and having traveled widely throughout the world, including the Far East, knows what he is talking and writing about. He is giving his entire time, without compensation, as head of the John Birch Society.

The whole idea of the JBS is that communism, by its own boasts, is preparing to take over and enslave the non-communist world, especially the United States; that the aims of communism have been advanced largely through small groups called cells. Some cells, like the Ware cell, which came

to light in the 1930s, have infiltrated even the highest echelons of Government.

The JBS now has many hundreds of so-called Chapters, each varying in number from ten to thirty men and women. Every member is a dedicated American who is ready to go all out to prevent world communism from taking over the United States—which it could do within the next two or three years.

What's the matter with that? Well, obviously there is nothing the matter with it, excepting that it poses the greatest threat so far by American citizens to the aims of the Kremlin. And if it goes as far as we think it's going to go, it will overthrow communism in this country and make communist dupes among otherwise respectable citizens look extremely silly.

Following the publication of the article in the *People's World*, *Time Magazine* on March 10 made comment which immediately commanded wide attention, and which was intended to be anything but favorable to the JBS.

Then some of the national news services took it up and series of articles and broadcasts began to appear, many of them painting the JBS in lurid colors as a secret organization, comparable in some sense to the Ku Klux Klan.

Whether they know it or not, all these groups, including *Time Magazine*, are aiding and abetting the communist cause.

Someone suggested that the JBS should be investigated by the California State Senate Committee on Un-American Activities. Immediately Robert Welch telegraphed both Governor Brown of California and the California Senate, demanding such an investigation. Subsequently Mr. Welch demanded of both the House and Senate in Washington that the John Birch Society be investigated. And Mr. Welch stated clearly that no witness for the JBS would take the Fifth Amendment, as so many of the pro-communist witnesses have done.

ON April 2 an AP story from Billings, Montana, quoted one Joseph Sample, who said he had served as a First Lieutenant in the office of a Strategic Services Detachment to which John Birch (after whom the Society was named) was assigned. Sample said, on the strength of an oral report from a Staff Sergeant who was with Birch at the time of Birch's death, that there was "certainly no glory" in Birch's death, and that he was shot by the Communists for being "brash and arrogant."

Colonel J. C. Williams, now of New York City, under whom John Birch served for two years, has made the following statement to us:

"Capt. Birch was under my command in the 14th Air Force for more than 24 months. Using his good

offices and knowledge of the Chinese and their language we were able to make substantial contributions to the war effort against the Japanese. Capt. Birch was basically a missionary with a thoroughly sincere call to minister to the Chinese, and at no time in my experience with him was his conduct with the Chinese anything but most tolerant, patient and considerate. I find any description of his attitude towards the Chinese as brash and arrogant completely out of character with the John Birch I knew so well.

"Capt. Birch was very reluctant to accept his transfer from the 14th Air Force to the OSS, having stated with obvious sincerity that he would prefer being a Corporal in the 14th Air Force to being a Colonel in the OSS. This attitude undoubtedly failed to endear him to the OSS. I further note that the parties criticizing Capt. Birch were not on the mission when Capt. Birch met his death but came to their own conclusions obviously from second hand reports. . . .

"In view of these circumstances above recited, I feel that the memory of a very dedicated and gallant officer is being besmirched beyond all justification."

THE attacks against the film *Operation Abolition* have been equally widespread. This film was carefully prepared, under the auspices of the House Un-American Activities Committee. Mayor Christopher of San Francisco has stated publicly that the film represents what actually took place. This film has thrown consternation into the communist ranks. Some ten to twenty million people have seen it. On February 28, Representative James Roosevelt of Los Angeles, arch enemy of anti-communists, made a speech on the floor of the House demanding that the \$330,000 appropriation for use of the HUAC this coming fiscal year be eliminated. He was backed up by pro-communists and dupes of communists from all parts of the country. Yet, when the chips were down and the vote was taken 412 Representatives voted for the appropriation and six voted against it!

That should indicate pretty clearly what the House thinks about the authenticity and value of *Operation Abolition*.

Write us if you have not seen this film, and we will suggest how you can see it.

These attacks against both the John Birch Society and *Operation Abolition* are the first really vicious attacks directed by the communists against citizens who are organizing to defend their country against communist absorption. These communists will, in our opinion, leave nothing undone, even murder itself, if they think it would be useful to accomplish their aim.

We urge all our readers to back both the John Birch Society and the film. You can get information about the JBS by addressing that organization at Belmont 78, Massachusetts. Any group or indi-

vidual can purchase a copy of the film for \$100 from Washington Video Productions, 24 F Street NW, Washington 1. Already Chambers of Commerce and other groups in some towns of even as few as 5,000 inhabitants in remote parts of the country have acquired it for use in their neighborhoods.

THE EICHMANN TRIAL

WE have referred heretofore to the partition of Palestine by the United Nations in 1947, at the instance of President Truman acting under Zionist pressure. We pointed out in Letter 496 that the founding of Palestine was the price paid the Zionists for their bringing America into World War I in the spring of 1917. Had it not been for the Zionists, America would undoubtedly never have got into that War. Probably there would have been no World War II. Soviet Russia might never have existed. There probably would be no world communism. Subsequent history would be vastly different.

We reviewed in Letter 497 the book, *Betrayal at the UN—The Story of Bang-Jensen*. This book suggested that murder was committed because Bang-Jensen refused to give up to UN officials the names of thirty Hungarians who had given important testimony before the Hungarian Committee of the UN. Dag Hammarskjöld, Secretary-General of the UN, had fired him for refusing to betray them.

Some months ago the press announced that one Adolph Eichmann had been kidnapped in Argentina and spirited away to Israel, to be tried for having an important part in "the killing by Hitler of six million Jews."

Argentina was naturally indignant; but after certain representations had been made to the Argentine Government, that Government announced that it was entirely satisfied. The Zionists have far-reaching influence.

Incidentally if the Zionists can use this sort of "extradition" in Argentina, they can pull it off anywhere, including the United States, with regard to anyone they happen not to like. Is there any more reason to think that the American State Department would raise a hand in opposition, if an American were kidnapped by Zionists and taken to Israel for trial, than it raised, for instance, in the case of the murder of Count Bernadotte in 1948 or the probable murder of Bang-Jensen in 1959? Or in the cases of hundreds of Americans captured and held by Soviet Russia or Red China?

EICHMANN may be the guiltiest man who ever lived. But his crimes were not committed in Israel. His kidnapping and trial violate some of

the most fundamental principles of Anglo-Saxon law. Yet hardly a voice has been raised against this high-handed procedure.

We certainly hold no brief for Hitler. He became a monster toward the end, as shown by the savage killing of more than 4,000 Germans for alleged involvement in the attempted assassination of himself in 1944, as well as in the killing of many Jews and non-Jews.

But the Zionists of the United States, Britain and elsewhere in the world became largely responsible for Hitler's going berserk, when Roosevelt and Churchill at Casablanca in 1944 demanded unconditional surrender by the Germans. No more savage or senseless decision was ever reached by an American President.

Likewise, we Americans did ourselves no good when the Zionists persuaded the allies, principally the United States, to hold the war criminal *ex-post facto* trials in Germany in 1945 and 1946. All this was contrary to Anglo-Saxon concepts of law. Quite likely, as a result of these trials, some day the United States or Britain, or both, if they lose a war, will find the enemy trying and hanging United States and British officials of all ranks—based on the precedent the United States and Britain themselves set in the war criminal trials fifteen years ago.

BUT to return to the Eichmann case. If there were six million Jews within reach of Hitler, which number is widely questioned, and if they have all disappeared, where are they?

It is of interest that in recent years the United States Government abolished the rule that any alien applying for citizenship, or any person reported in the census, must state his religion or race. We know no evidence that the abolishing of any such rule was ever even discussed before.

Then in May, 1953, Representative Francis Walter in the *Reader's Digest* stated that there were then from three to five million aliens in the United States illegally.

Is it not likely that many of these six million, claimed to have been killed by Hitler and Eichmann, are right here in the United States and are now joining in the agitation for more and more support for the State of Israel—even if the American Republic goes down?

How can we Americans expect to preserve our country and its liberties if we permit Zionist influence to flout some of the vital principles on which the United States was founded?

Meanwhile most of the American press has been trying Eichmann in various columns, news dispatches, special articles, etc. Nearly every piece printed mentions the "six million Jews." The publicity has been well-nigh nauseating to Americans who are deeply concerned with the communist and economic threat to their own country.

There are probably three objectives of this publicity campaign. First to humiliate and antagonize the German people and to alienate Germany—one of the staunchest allies we have anywhere; second to impress the world with the might of Israel, and third to distract the attention of the American people while our one-worlders advance their plans.

We enclose herewith a copy of a petition to the President and the Congress to make a thorough investigation of the State Department.

You are requested to sign this petition and secure as many other signatures as possible and return to me.

Please read this petition in the light of Council Letters 500 and 501.

We hope you can obtain at least 20 signatures and that you may go on from there and get as many more as you find time and opportunity.

We shall keep the originals of petitions in our files. Copies will be made by us and sent to the President of the United States and to each Member of both Houses of Congress.

Your prompt attention to this will be appreciated.

MERWIN K. HART.

This Council Letter may be quoted in whole or part provided due credit is given to the National Economic Council, Inc., Empire State Building, New York 1, N. Y., and quotation is specified to be from Economic Council Letter 501, April 15, 1961. But no copies may be made to be sold.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, INC., established in 1930, is a non-profit, non-partisan membership corporation organized under New York State law. It publishes the semi-monthly ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER and occasional ECONOMIC COUNCIL PAPERS. OFFICERS: President, Merwin K. Hart. Executive Vice President, Constance G. Dall. Vice Presidents: Lt. Gen. P. A. del Valle, U.S. M.C., retired, Vice Adm. C. S. Freeman, U.S.N., retired, Earl Harding, George S. Montgomery, Jr., A. Margaret Schmid; Secretary, McKay Twombly; Assistant Secretary, Sibylla Schilling; Treasurer, Baird Parks.

Subscription \$10 a year, \$6 for six months, \$3.50 for three months. Special rate for student or teacher \$5 a year. Air Mail Subscription (domestic) \$12.00 a year, Air Mail (foreign) \$15.00 a year.

EXTRA COPIES of this Council Letter 15c each (8 for \$1), \$9 per 100, \$50 per 1,000.

Special prices will be quoted for larger quantities. Please add 3% sales tax for deliveries in Greater New York and 5% shipping charges on quantities of 100 or more.

National Economic Council, Inc., Empire State Building, New York 1, N. Y.
903 First National Bank Bldg., Utica 2, N. Y.